

An additional case of vowel harmony in Modern Hebrew is found in loanwords (Cohen 2010). In loanword adaptation, source vowels are adapted to the phonologically closest Hebrew vowel. However, in a minority of cases, usually when the source vowel is central [ə] or when the Hebrew vowel is epenthetic, the quality of the vowel in loanwords is *optionally* determined by the quality of a neighboring vowel.

(8) Vowel harmony in borrowings

mrof cinomraH		ssolG
קנגורו	<i>qenguru</i>	'kangaroo'
פילם	<i>film</i>	'film'
קולורבי	<i>kolorabi</i>	'kohlrabi'
אינטליגנטי	<i>intiligenti</i>	'intelligent'
פלורסנט	<i>florosent</i>	'florescent'
סינמה	<i>sinima</i>	'cinema'

EVAN-GARY COHEN  
(Tel-Aviv University)

## Vowel Length: Biblical Hebrew

The length of vowels represented by the vowel signs in Tiberian Biblical Hebrew is largely predictable from syllable structure and the placement of stress. Vowels are pronounced long when they are either (i) in a stressed syllable or (ii) in an open unstressed syllable. Elsewhere the vowel is pronounced short. Examples: מֶלֶךְ [mɛ:lɛχ] 'king', יִשְׁמַע [ji'ma:ʕ] 'he hears', חֵכְמָה [ħɛχ'mo:] 'wisdom', הַהוּא [ha:'hu:] 'that', מִחוּץ [mi:'ħu:ʕ] 'outside'. In the orthography short [u] is predominantly represented by *qibbuš*, e.g., יִקָּם [yuq'qa:m] 'he will be avenged', but is occasionally represented by *shureq*, e.g., יוֹשָׁד [ju]'[a:ð] 'it will be destroyed' (Hos. 10.14). The lengthening of vowels in open unstressed syllables was due to the predominant prosodic tendency of syllables to have two moras (→ Syllable Structure: Biblical Hebrew). The vowels *holem* and *šere* are invariably long and have no short variants. This also is essentially dependent on stress and syllable structure, in that they occur only in the aforementioned environments that condition vowel length, e.g., כוֹהֵן [ko:'he:m] 'priest', הִרְיָם [he:'qim] 'he raised', יָקוּם [jɔ:'qo:m] 'may he rise'.

Vocalic *shewa* (◌) in the Tiberian reading tradition was pronounced as a short *a* vowel

in most contexts. When occurring before a guttural consonant or the letter *yod* it was realized with a different quality through an assimilatory process. Before a guttural it was realized with the same quality as the vowel following the guttural and before consonantal *yod* it was pronounced with the quality of *hireq* (→ Shewa: Pre-Modern Hebrew). *Shewa* was not lengthened, since it had the status of an epenthetic vowel that was inserted on the phonetic level to break up consonantal clusters at the onset of syllables and did not constitute the nucleus of a syllable in the underlying syllabification of the word (→ Syllable Structure: Biblical Hebrew). Vowels represented by *ḥateph* signs (*ḥateph pataḥ* ◌◌, *ḥateph seghol* ◌◌◌, and *ḥateph qameš* ◌◌◌) were also read as short vowels. Like *shewa*, in most cases the *ḥateph* vowels had the status of epenthetic vowels inserted on the surface phonetic level and so were not lengthened by the predominant prosodic principle of bimorcity, since they did not exist in the underlying syllable structure of the word.

The default pronunciation of vocalic *shewa* with the quality of [a] was the equivalent to that of the *ḥateph pataḥ* sign (◌◌). Both the vocalic *shewa* and the vowels expressed by *ḥateph* signs were short vowels that, in principle, had the same quantity as short vowels in closed syllables, which were represented in standard Tiberian vocalization by a simple vowel sign. So, the vocalic *shewa* in a word such as תִּדְבַּר 'you speak' would have been read with the same quantity and quality as the *pataḥ* in the closed syllable that follows it: [taðabbe:r]. Likewise the *ḥateph pataḥ* in הַמֶּלֶךְ [ha'mɛ:lɛχ] 'interrogative + king' would have been read with the same quantity and quality as the *pataḥ* in הַמֶּלֶךְ [ham'mɛ:lɛχ] 'the king' (Khan 1987, 37–39; 1992a) → Shewa: Pre-Modern Hebrew.

Sporadically a *ḥateph qameš* appears to have the status of a syllable, in which case the sign is marking a phonologically short vowel nucleus rather than an epenthetic. This applies notably to *ḥateph qameš* on non-guttural consonants in words such as דְּמִי [dɔ'mi:] 'silence', צָרִי [ʕɔ'ri:] 'balsam', צִפְרִים [ʕippɔ'ri:m] 'birds', קִדְשִׁים [qɔðɔ:'ʕi:m] 'holy things'. There are also some isolated cases of *ḥateph seghol* with this status, e.g., וּבְצִלְעִים [ʔuvɕɛlɛ'li:m] 'and with cymbals' (Aleppo Codex, Codex Leningradensis reads וּבְצִלְעִים 1 Sam. 6.5). These syllables are exceptional, therefore, in having the weight of only one mora (CV).

Some long vowels appear to occur in closed syllables at the end of a word, e.g., יָד [yɑ:ð] ‘hand’, קוֹל [qo:l] ‘voice’, לָקוּם [lɑ:kum] ‘to rise’, הִשְׁמִיד [hiʃmi:ð] ‘he destroyed’, בַּיִת [be:θ] ‘house of’. After long vowels in the middle of a word *shewa* is normally silent (→ Shewa: Pre-Modern Hebrew), so some long vowels appear to occur in closed syllables also within a word, e.g., שׁוֹמְרִים [ʃo:mʁi:m] ‘guarding (mpl)’, אָכְלָה [ʔɑ:χlɑ:] ‘she ate’. Such syllables should be analyzed as containing an epenthetic before the final consonant of the same quality as that of the preceding vowel, viz. [qo:ɔl], [ʃo:omʁi:im]. The most compelling evidence for this analysis is the appearance of this epenthetic as *pataḥ* before guttural consonants (the so-called future *pataḥ*), e.g., רוּחַ [ʁu:ah] ‘spirit’ (for further details see Khan 1987). Such vowels have inherent length and the syllabification accommodates this (→ Syllable Structure: Biblical Hebrew).

Many words carry a secondary stress in addition to the main stress. This is marked by an accent sign or a major *gaʿya* on a long vowel in an open syllable, e.g., הָאָדָם [ħɑ:ʔɑ:ðɑ:m] ‘the man’ (Gen. 2.19), הָאָדָם [ħɑ:ʔɑ:ðɑ:m] (Gen. 1.27). A secondary stress is marked on a short vowel in a closed syllable by a minor *gaʿya*, and the vowel is lengthened as a result, e.g., נְתַחֲכֶמָה [nɑ:θħakkaʔɑ:m] ‘let us deal wisely’ (Exod. 1.10). Occasionally *gaʿya* is marked on a *shewa* or an epenthetic *ḥaṭeph* vowel (known as *shewa gaʿya*), as a result of which these were lengthened, e.g., תִּשְׁלַחוּ [tɑ:ʃallaʔhu:] ‘you shall let go’ (Jer. 34.14), עֲלֵי־חַמְרֵי [ʔɑ:lɪjɑ:θɑ:m] ‘his chambers’ (Ps. 104.3) (Yeivin 1980:253–254; Khan 2009).

In order to establish the synchronic phonological representation of the vowels of the Tiberian reading tradition, therefore, one must distinguish between (i) vowels which are invariably long and include length in their underlying phonological representation and (ii) vowels whose length is determined by syllable structure and stress and so are of unspecified length at a phonological level.

The long vowel phonemes include: long *qameṣ ṣe* /ɔ/, *holem ṣe* /ɔ̄/, *šere* /ē/, long *shureq* /ū/, long *ḥireq* /ī/ (typically written with *yod*), e.g., יָד /yɑ:ð/ [yɑ:ð] ‘hand’, כֹּהֵן /køhæn/ [ko:he:en] ‘priest’, יִרְשׁוּ /yɪrʃu/ [ji:ɪrʃu:] ‘they inherit’.

The vowel phonemes unspecified as to length include: *pataḥ* /a/, *seghol* /e/, *ḥireq* /i/, *qibbuṣ* /shureq /u/. In principle these are long when

they bear stress, e.g., יִשְׁמַע /jiʃmaʃ/ [jiʃma:ʃ] ‘he hears’, נָעַר /naʃar/ [na:ʃar] ‘boy’, כַּרְמֵל /karʔmel/ [karʔme:l] ‘plantation’, מֶלֶךְ /meleχ/ [me:leχ] ‘king’, or syllabified with two moras in open unstressed syllables, e.g., הָהוּא /haa.huu/ [ha:ʔhu:] ‘that’ and מִחוּץ /mi.huus/ [mi:ʔhu:us] ‘outside’. In unstressed closed syllables they are short.

To the second category of vowels we should add also /e/ and /o/ without specified length. These are represented by the *šere* and *holem* vowel signs, respectively, in the stressed syllables of certain forms. Since stressed vowels are always long, on a phonetic level these are not distinguishable from *šere* and *holem* that represent phonemes with underlying length. This is necessary to account for apparent discrepancies in the historical development of vowels in several morphological forms, in which *pataḥ* (a vowel with no specified length feature) occurs in parallel with *šere* and *holem* (Sarauw 1939:56–64; Khan 1994a). This applies, for example, to nouns with an originally doubled final consonant. In forms deriving from the \**qall* pattern the vowel is *pataḥ*, e.g., רַב [ʁɑ:v] ‘much’, and in forms deriving from the \**qill* and \**qull* pattern, the vowel is *šere* and *holem*, respectively, e.g., לֵב [le:v] ‘heart’, עֹז [ʔo:v] ‘strength’. Such words would all have a vowel of unspecified length on the phonological level, namely /ʔav/, /ʔlev/, /ʔoz/, respectively, and the length would have been a consequence of stress. There is, therefore, no discrepancy in their pattern. The same applies to the underlying phonological representation of the *pataḥ*, *šere*, and *holem* in verbal forms (קָטַל /qɑṣʔal/, קָטַל /qɑṣʔel/, קָטַל /qɑṣʔol/, יָקַטַל /yɪqʔal/, יָקַטַל /yɪqʔel/, יָקַטַל /yɪqʔol/) and in segholate nouns (נָעַר /naʔar/, סִפֵּר /sefer/, קָדַשׁ /qɑðeʃ/), which would have the phonemes /a/, /e/ and /o/.

In syllables that do not have the main stress the vowels /e/ and /o/ are generally realized phonetically as [ɛ] or [ɔ], respectively, which overlap in quality with the phonemes /e/ and /ɔ̄/, e.g., וַיֵּרֶד /vayʔyered/ [vayʔye:reð] ‘and he came down’, קְדוּשִׁים /qɑðeʃim/ [qɑðɑ:ʃi:im] ‘holy things’. A secondary stress may be marked on short [ɔ] and lengthen it to [ɔ:], as in קְדוּשִׁים [qɑ:ðɑ:ʃi:im] ‘holy things’ (Exod. 29.37). The underlying phonemic representation of a word such as צֶרֶף [ʃɑ:ʔɑ:ʃi:] ‘balm’ would be /ʃoʔri/, the pausal form of which would be צֶרֶף [ʃo:ʔri:] with primary stress on the first syllable (attested in Rabbinic Hebrew).

In principle, all the phonemes /a/, /e/, /i/, /u/, /e/, and /o/, which synchronically in the medieval Tiberian reading tradition should be analyzed as lacking a feature of length in their specification, correspond to vowels that were short in all contexts at an earlier historical period. With regard to the vowels that synchronically should be analyzed as having a length feature (i.e., / $\bar{s}$ /, / $\bar{o}$ /, / $\bar{e}$ /, / $\bar{u}$ /, / $\bar{i}$ /), these mostly corresponded to historically long vowels, with the exception of / $\bar{s}$ /, which resulted from the historical lengthening of an original short \*a, and / $\bar{e}$ /, which in some cases developed from the historical lengthening of an original short \*i.

The distinction between vowels that are inherently long and those that are long through prosodic stress was already adumbrated by medieval scholars, in particular Ḥayyūj and Judah Ha-Levi (Steiner 2001).

As has been remarked, a vowel in an unstressed closed syllable was, in principle, short. If, however, it was followed by a series of contiguous consonants of relatively weak articulation (e.g., any of the consonants אהעחינל *'b'hynl*), then the vowel was sometimes lengthened, even when not stressed. This occurs in certain prefixes of the verbs היה *byh* 'be' and חיה *byh* 'live', namely the *hireq* of prefixes before *be* or *het*, e.g., יהיה [ji:h'je:] 'he will be', and the *patah* of the conjunctive prefix וַ *wa-* before *yod*, e.g., ויהי [va:j'hi:] 'and it was' (Khan 1994b). Such lengthening is occasionally found elsewhere and is marked by the *ga'ya* sign (Yeivin 1980:262), e.g., שָׁמַע עָם [ha'ʃa:ma:ʔ 'ʃa:ʃa:] 'did any people hear?' (Deut. 4.33), שָׁמַע נָא [ʃama:ʔ 'na:ʃa:] 'listen' (1 Sam. 28.22), וּפְתַחֲיָהּ [ufθa:h'ʃa:] 'and Pethahiah' (Neh. 11.24). The purpose of the lengthening of the unstressed vowel in such contexts was, it seems, to ensure that adjacent weak letters were not elided in the reading.

The phonetic duration of long vowels varied considerably. From the medieval sources we are able to infer the existence of several different degrees in the relative duration of long vowels. Most of these were conditioned by differences in stress, vowel height, or consonant strength. We shall mention here some of the known cases of differences in relative duration of long vowels (for these variations see Khan 1987; 1989; 1994b; 1996):

Stressed long vowels were longer than unstressed long vowels, e.g., in the word הָהוּא [ha:'hu:] 'that' the [u:] was longer than the [a:].

A long vowel with secondary stress was longer than a long vowel in an unstressed syllable, e.g., in the word הָאִישׁ [h'a:ʔa:ʃa:] 'the man' (Gen. 2.19) the second [a:] was shorter than the other two.

Long vowels in open syllables that took secondary stress marked by major *ga'ya*, e.g., הָאִישׁ [h'a:ʔa:ʃa:] 'man' (Gen. 1.27), were of greater duration than vowels in closed syllables lengthened by secondary stress and marked by minor *ga'ya*, e.g., נְתַחֲבֵמָה [ni:θh'akka'ma:] 'let us deal wisely' (Exod. 1.10). This difference in duration is reflected in the medieval terminology: גדולה געיה *ga'ya gedola* ('major *ga'ya*') vs. קטנה געיה *ga'ya qetanna* ('minor *ga'ya*') (Khan 1992b). A *shewa* lengthened by *shewa ga'ya* corresponds in duration to a vowel lengthened by minor *ga'ya* (Khan 2009).

A high vowel, e.g., [i:], [u:], in a closed syllable with secondary stress marked by minor *ga'ya* was shorter than a low vowel [a:] in the same conditions, e.g., in the words נְתַחֲבֵמָה [ni:θh'akka'ma:] 'let us deal wisely' (Exod. 1.10) and וַתְּצַפְנֵהוּ [va:ttiʃpa'ne:hu:] 'and she hid him' (Exod. 2.2), the [i:] vowel of the first was shorter than the [a:] vowel of the second. The same applies to a *shewa* lengthened by *shewa ga'ya* (Khan 2009).

The high vowel [i:] of prefixes of the verbs היה and חיה was shorter than the low vowel [a:] in prefixes of these verbs, e.g., in the forms יהיה [ji:h'je:] 'he will be' and ויהי [va:j'hi:] 'and it was', the [i:] of the first was shorter than the [a:] of the second.

The high vowel [i:] of the prefixes of the verbs היה and חיה was shorter than [i:] in a stressed syllable or an unstressed open syllable, but longer than [i:] in a closed syllable with secondary stress marked by minor *ga'ya*, e.g., in the words אֵם [ʔi:m] 'if', יהיה [ji:h'je:] 'he will be', and נְתַחֲבֵמָה [ni:θh'akka'ma:] 'let us deal wisely' (Exod. 1.10), the three [i:] vowels were of decreasing degrees of length.

The [a:] vowel in prefixes of the verbs היה and חיה (e.g., ויהי [va:j'hi:]) and other words before two weak consonants (e.g., שָׁמַע עָם [ha'ʃa:ma:ʔ 'ʃa:ʃa:] 'did any people hear?' [Deut. 4.33]) was longer than an [a:] vowel in a closed syllable with secondary stress marked by minor *ga'ya* (e.g., וַתְּצַפְנֵהוּ [va:ttiʃpa'ne:hu:] 'and she hid him' [Exod. 2.2]).

A final unstressed long vowel underwent particular reduction in duration in the construction known as *dehiq*, i.e., where *dagesh*

occurs on the initial letter of a word when the preceding word ends in a long vowel and has a conjunctive accent on the penultimate syllable (Yeivin 1980: 289–292). The Masoretic treatise *Hidāyat al-Qārī* ‘Guide for the Reader’ (→ Masoretic Treatises) describes the phenomenon of *dehiq* as the ‘compression’ of the final vowel: “The vowel that follows the accent in וְאֶעֱיֵדָהּ בָּם [vɔʔɔ:ʕi:ðɔ: bbɔ:ʕm] ‘I shall cause to witness against them’ (Deut. 31.28) is not extended but is considerably compressed” (Eldar 1984:7). According to this source the compression also takes place in short words that are connected by *maqṣef* to a following word when the initial consonant of the second word has *dagesh*: “The compression may take place in a word without an accent if it is a short word as in מַה־תֹּאמַר [ma:ʔto:ʔma:ʔ] ‘what [your soul] says’ (1 Sam. 20.4), זֶה־בְּנִי [ze:ʔbba:ʔni:] ‘this is my son’ (1 Kgs 3.23), מַה־בְּרִי [ma:ʔbba:ʔri:] ‘what, my son’ (Prov. 31.2), וּמַה־תַּעֲשֶׂה [ʔuma:ʔtta:ʔʕa:ʔse:] ‘and what will you do?’ (Josh. 7.9) and the like”. This implies that the *pataḥ* in the word מַה־ before *dagesh* was long, though of decreased duration. The pronunciation of the *pataḥ* as a long vowel is confirmed by the Karaite transcriptions into Arabic script, which represent it by the *mater lectionis* ‘alif, e.g., ما تنن لي ‘what will you give me’ (מַה־תִּתֶּנְ לִי [ma:ʔttitte:n-ʔli:] Gen. 15.2) (Khan 1989).

In the medieval Babylonian pronunciation of Hebrew the basic distribution of vowel length was essentially equivalent to what is found in Tiberian. This is marked explicitly in the so-called ‘compound system’ of Babylonian vocalization, which distinguished between long and short vowels, in that it marked short vowels in open and closed syllables by the use of different signs from those used to indicate long vowels (→ Vocalization, Babylonian).

Unlike in Tiberian, the /e/ and /o/ phonemes retain their quality when pronounced short in unstressed syllables. Short unstressed /o/ is found, for example, in רְנִי *ronni* ‘rejoice!’ (Tiberian רְנִי *rānni* Zeph. 3.14), וַיָּמָוֶת *way-yāmōt* ‘and he died’ (Tiberian וַיָּמָת *way-yāmāt* 2 Sam. 12.18). In prefix conjugation forms with suffixes, such as. יִשְׁמָרֵנִי *yīšmorēni* ‘he guards me’ (Tiberian יִשְׁמָרְנִי *yīšmarēni*) and אֲשִׁמְרֶה *ʔšmorāi* ‘I guard’ (Tiberian אֲשִׁמְרֶה *ʔšmarā*). The /o/ has usually been reduced to *shewa* in such forms in Tiberian, but note

אֲשַׁתְּלֵנוּ *ʔštālennū* ‘I will plant it’ (Ezek. 17.23) and וַאֲשַׁקֹּלָהּ *wā-ʔšqālā* ‘and I weighed’ (Ezra 8.25), where the quality is [ɔ].

Examples of short *šere* in unstressed syllables include בֶּן־רְאוּבֵן *ben-rəʔūbēn* ‘the son of Reuben’ (Tiberian בֶּן־רְאוּבֵן *ben-rəʔūbēn* Josh. 15.6), וַיֵּרֵד *way-yērēd* ‘and he went down’ (Tiberian וַיֵּרַד *way-yēred* Jer. 36.12). אָנוֹשׁ *ʔenōš* ‘man’ (Tiberian אָנוֹשׁ *ʔenōš* Job 5.17). In manuscripts with compound vocalization these vowels are represented by *šere* combined with the *hitpa* sign, demonstrating that they were short, e.g., בֶּן־מְנַשֶּׁה *ben-mənšā* Num. 32.41, וַיִּבֶן *way-yiben* ‘and he built’ (Tiberian וַיִּבֶן *way-yiben* Exod. 1.11), אָנוֹשׁ *ʔenōš* ‘man’ (Tiberian אָנוֹשׁ *ʔenōš* Ps. 10.18).

In *dehiq* constructions, where the Tiberian Masoretic sources state that the final long vowel of a word is compressed, Babylonian compound vocalization often explicitly marks the vowel as short, e.g., לִי הִשָּׁבַעְהָ *hiššābʕā li* (Tiberian לִי הִשָּׁבַעְהָ *hiššābʕā li* ‘swear to me’ Gen. 21.23) (Yeivin 1985:338–339).

#### REFERENCES

- Eldar, Ilan. 1984. “Xoq ʔwyʔh u-bgdkt”. *Hebrew Union College Annual* 55:1–14.
- Khan, Geoffrey. 1987. “Vowel length and syllable structure in the Tiberian tradition of Biblical Hebrew”. *Journal of Semitic Studies* 32:23–82.
- . 1989. “The pronunciation of מַה־ before dagesh in the medieval Tiberian Hebrew reading tradition”. *Journal of Semitic Studies* 34:433–441.
- . 1992a. “The function of the shewa sign in vocalized Judaeo-Arabic texts from the Genizah”. *Genizah research after ninety years: The case of Judaeo-Arabic*, ed. by Joshua Blau and Stefan Reif, 105–111 (University of Cambridge Oriental Publications 47). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 1992b. “The pronunciation of minor gaʔya as reflected by Karaite Bible manuscripts in Arabic transcription” (in Hebrew). *Məḥqarim ba-Lashon* 5–6:465–479.
- . 1994a. “The historical background of the vowel *šere* in some Hebrew verbal and nominal forms”. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 57:133–144.
- . 1994b. “The pronunciation of the verbs *haya* and *ḥaya* in the Tiberian tradition of Biblical Hebrew”. *Semitic and Cushitic studies*, ed. by Gideon Goldenberg and Shlomo Raz, 133–144. Jerusalem / Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- . 1996. “Šewa and ḥaṭeṭ signs in the Tiberian vocalization system”. *Journal of Semitic Studies* 41:65–74.
- . 2009. “The pronunciation of gaʔya with šewa”. *Masʔat Aharon: Linguistic studies presented to*

- Aron Dotan, ed. by Moshe Bar-Asher and Chaim E. Cohen, 3\*–18\*. Jerusalem: Bialik Institute.
- Sarauw, Christian Preben Emil. 1939. *Über Akzent und Silbenbildung in den Älteren Semitischen Sprachen* (Historisk-filologiske Meddelelser, Det Kgl. Danske Videnskabernes Selskab 26, 8). Copenhagen: Ejnar Munksgaard.
- Steiner, Richard. 2001. "Mešex ha-tenu'ot be-'Ivrit". *Language Studies* 8:203–227.
- Yeivin, Israel. 1980. *Introduction to the Tiberian Masorah* (Masoretic Studies). Missoula: Scholars Press.
- . 1985. *The Hebrew language tradition as reflected in the Babylonian vocalization* (in Hebrew). Jerusalem: The Academy of the Hebrew Language.

GEOFFREY KHAN  
(University of Cambridge)

## Vowel Length: Modern Hebrew

Israeli Hebrew possesses the following vowel phonemes: *a*, *e*, *i*, *o*, *u*. Vowel length is environmentally determined and not phonemic; it tends to be affected by degree of stress, and pretonic lengthening may also occur, mostly in open syllables (→ Pretonic Lengthening: Modern Hebrew). In one class of cases, however, vowel length may be argued to have re-emerged as a semi-distinctive feature. When a glottal (א' or ה *h*) or pharyngeal (ע') consonant is lost, a two-vowel sequence arises; if the two vowels are identical, they merge into a single long vowel:

- תעבוד *ta'avod* 'you will work' > *taavod* > *ta:vod* (> *tavod*)
- תהרוג *taharog* 'you will kill' > *taarog* > *ta:rog* (> *tarog*)
- לצערי *le-ša'ari* 'unfortunately (lit. 'to my regret') > *lešaari* > *leša:ri* (> ?*lešari*)
- אני מאמין *'ani ma'amin* 'I believe' > *ani maamin* > *ani ma:min* (> ?*ani mamin*)

As Rabin (1972) and Rosén (1955; 1977) point out, the resulting differences in length may give rise to minimal pairs, such as:

- נתתי *natáti* 'I gave' versus נטעתי *natá'ti* > *natá:ti* 'I planted'
- כך *kax* 'thus' versus כעך *ka'ax* > *ka:x* 'bagel'
- שר *šar* 'sing' versus שער *ša'ar* > *ša:r* 'gate'

In some cases length serves as a morphological marker, as in:

- בא *ba* 'come (ms)' versus באה *ba'a* > *ba:* 'come (fs)'
- תביא *tavi* '(you will) bring (ms)' versus תביאי *tavi'i* > *tavi:* '(you will) bring (fs)'

The 'pre-merger' vowels do not have to be identical, e.g.,

- שעונים *še'onim* 'watches' > *šeonim* > *šoonim* > *šo:nim* (> *šonim*)
- סערה *se'ara* 'storm' > *seará* > *saara* > *sara* (> *sara*)
- להסביר *le(h)asbir* 'explain' > *laasbir* > *la:sbir* (> *lasbir*)
- להכניס *le(h)axnis* 'bring in' > *laaxnis* > *la:xnis* > *laxnis*
- שייכנס *še-(y)ikanes* 'let him enter' > *šikanes* > *ši:kanes* (> *šikanes*)
- שיתלבש *še-(y)itlabeš* 'let him get dressed' > *šitlabeš* > *ši:tlobeš* (> ?*šitlabeš*)

In some cases the vowel may end up short, but this is typical of non-native speech (e.g., לצערי *'ani ma'amin* > *ani mamin* or מאמין *ira'aš-el le-šari* are rarely found in native speech).

A long vowel thus often signals a lost consonantal slot, and captures relationships with regular patterns (with all their syntactic and semantic implications), as well as deviations from expected vowel configurations (Bolzky 1997).

## REFERENCES

- Bolzky, Shmuel. 1997. "Israeli Hebrew phonology". *Phonologies of Asia and Africa*, ed. by Alan S. Kaye and Peter Daniels, 1:287–311. Winona Lake, Indiana: Eisenbrauns.
- Rabin, Chaim. 1972. "Deletion of the glottal stop in spoken Hebrew and the formation of a new vowel group" (in Hebrew). *A phonetics reader*, ed. by Uzzi Ornan, 230–233. Jerusalem: Akademon (trans. by the author from his "La chute de l'occlusive glottale en hebreu parlé et l'évolution d'une nouvelle classe de voyelles". *Comptes Rendus du Groupe Linguistique d'Études Chamito-Sémitiques* 3 [1940] 77–79).
- Rosén, Haiim B. 1955. *Ha-'Ivrit šelanu*. Tel-Aviv: Am Oved.
- . 1977. *Contemporary Hebrew*. Mouton: The Hague.

SHMUEL BOLZKY  
(University of Massachusetts Amherst)